

**PLANNING COMMITTEE****SCHEDULE OF ADDITIONAL LETTERS****Date: March 7<sup>th</sup> 2023**

**NOTE: This schedule reports only additional letters received before 5pm on the day before committee. Any items received on the day of Committee will be reported verbally to the meeting**

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Originator:</b>
6	22/02001/EIA	Anonymous Objector

We have become aware that, yet another industrial poultry unit expansion is set for approval in which you are reported as recommending approval, saying that there is a strong national and local policy support for local agricultural businesses. Are you aware of the catastrophic damage that the intensive poultry industry is causing to land and water in the UK? Are you aware that over £50 million from the public purse has been paid in compensation to poultry farmers since the latest and current outbreak of avian influenza in October 2021? Both of these issues are a direct result of local government's continued approval of intensive poultry units. These units should be regarded by planners as industrial in nature, and not agricultural - and their impact on neighbourhoods and communities considered in this light. Currently, the objection from a neighbour to the proposed development at Meadowland Farm appears to carry no weight whatsoever. Could you please explain why you are sanctioning this business's intolerable impact on a community member's wellbeing and quality of life?

There has been a loud and vigorous national debate on the harmful impact of intensive farming on the environment. As awareness grows, local and national support for agricultural businesses is declining rapidly. We would suggest the increasingly visible harm from these units which spreads far and wide beyond their immediate locality is opening those businesses and the planning departments that facilitate them to condemnation and possible legal action.

We would like a 'hold' to be placed on this application and the planning process for any further poultry units until local government has developed a fuller understanding of the impacts it has.

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Originator:</b>
7	22/05178/FUL	Historic England

Do not wish to offer advice on the application and instead suggest that the views of the Councils specialist conservation and archaeological advisers are sought.